

श्री विक्रम बिबौरा 10/01/24

केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग, मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नई दिल्ली, New Delhi – 110067

File No: CIC/NIDHR/A/2023/646890

Rajat Sharma

.....अपीलकर्ता/Appellant

VERSUS

बनाम

CPIO,

National Institute of Design,

NH 44, Umri, Kurukshetra,

Haryana -136131

....प्रतिवादीगण /Respondent

Date of Hearing : 10.02.2025

Date of Decision : 20.02.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Vinod Kumar Tiwari

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on : 23.07.2023

CPIO replied on : 16.08.2023

First appeal filed on : 17.08.3023

First Appellate Authority's order : 15.09.2023

2nd Appeal/Complaint dated : Nil

Information sought:

The Appellant filed an RTI application (online) dated 23.07.2023 seeking the following information:

"A) Reference is made to Recruitment on various Teaching, Technical and Administrative Posts at www.nid.edu/careers for which last date to apply online was 11 Mar 2019.

You are requested to provide undermentioned details in respect of 03 posts of SENIOR ASSISTANT (ADMIN/ ACADEMIC/ PURCHASE) at NID Kurukshetra.

1. When will the written exam be conducted for the post of Senior Assistant at NID Kurukshetra?

2. How the admit card issue for the said post, through letter or website ? 3. What is the selection procedure for the post of Senior Assistant at NID Kurukshetra? Written test or interview or both?
3. What is syllabus of written test for the said post?

B) Reference is made to your Advt. No. NID-H/2021/01 dated 29.04.2021

You are requested to provide undermentioned details in respect of Senior Superintendent (Direct Recruitment), Assistant Administrative Officer (Direct Recruitment) and Superintendent (Direct Recruitment).

1. How many applications received post wise?
2. When will the written exam be conducted for the said posts.
3. How the admit card issue for the said posts, through letter or website?
4. What is the selection procedure of these posts? Which post have written test, which have interview, which have both?
5. What is the syllabus for the said posts?"

The CPIO furnished a reply to the Appellant on 16.08.2023 stating as under:

"A) 1 to 4. Information will be published on the Institute's website and when available. <https://www.nidh.ac.in>

B) 1 to 4. Information will be published on the Institute's website and when available. <https://www.nidh.ac.in>"

Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed a First Appeal dated 17.08.2023. The FAA vide its order dated 15.09.2023, held as under.

"The CPIO has informed that, the recruitment for the post of Senior Assistant (Admin/Academic/purchase), Senior Superintendent, Assistant Administrative Officer and Superintendent is under process. As and when finalized, the notice for the same will be uploaded on the Institute's Website, <https://www.nidh.ac.in>.

After going through the appeal documents, original application, replies and comments given by the CPIO, I am of the considered opinion that the replies given by the CPIO were relevant to the questions and the CPIO has no more information in hand."

Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, appellant approached the Commission with the instant Second Appeal.

Relevant Facts emerged during Hearing:

The following were present:-

Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Sunil Kumar, Chief Administrative Officer/CPIO appeared through video conference.

The respondent while defending their case *inter alia* reiterated the replies given by the CPIO and the FAA vide their letters/order dated 16.08.2023 and 15.09.2023 respectively.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing the respondent and perusal of the records, noted that recruitment for the post of Senior Assistant (Admin/Academic/purchase), Senior Superintendent, Assistant Administrative Officer and Superintendent was under process. As and when finalized, the notice for the same would be uploaded on the Institute's website, <https://www.nidh.ac.in>.

The respondent submitted that the appellant has not served copy of the second appeal to them at the time of filing of same before the Commission. The appellant neither filed any written objection nor presented himself before the Commission to controvert the averments made by the respondent and further agitate the matter.

In view of the above observations, the Commission finds that replies given by the respondents are in consonance of the provisions of the RTI Act. Therefore, intervention of the Commission is not warranted in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

Vinod Kumar Tiwari (विनोद कुमार तिवारी)
Information Commissioner (सूचना आयुक्त)

Authenticated true copy

(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

(S. Anantharaman)

Dy. Registrar

011- 26181927

Date



Copy To:

**The FAA,
National Institute of Design,
NH 44, Umri, Kurukshetra,
Haryana -136131**

**Shri Rajat Sharma
Village - Fatuhpur, PO - Amin,
Kurukshetra, Haryana - 136038
Haryana, Kurukshetra, 136038**